

6/29/00 Suttie Dep.

06/29/00

DEPOSITION OF PETER J. SUTTIE
San Diego, California
Thursday, June 29, 2000
Volume 2

Reported by:
RENEE KELCH
CSR No. 5063
Job No. 14814

192

194

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.,)
and HONEYWELL INTELLECTUAL)
PROPERTY, INC.,)
Plaintiffs,)
vs.)
HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORPORATION,)
No. 99-309 (GMS)

Deposition of PETER J. SUTTIE,
Volume 2, taken on behalf of
Plaintiffs, at 600 West Broadway,
Suite 1100, San Diego, California,
beginning at 9:18 a.m. and ending at
3:39 p.m. on Thursday, June 29, 2000,
before RENEE KELCH, Certified
Shorthand Reporter No. 5063.

193

•195•

1 (Pages 192 to 195)

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES
(619) 233-0633 (800) 829-6159

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL V.
HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND

PETER J. SUTTIE
06/29/00

1 Tuesday, I noticed this statement.

2 Q And what, if any, actions have you taken to
3 have this supposedly erroneous statement corrected?

4 A None.

5 Q Have you, or to your knowledge anyone at
6 Sundstrand, contacted any customers who've been given
7 this troubleshooting guide to tell them that there's an
8 erroneous statement about inlet guide vane position and
9 setpoint contained in the guide you've given them?

10 A No.

11 Q If you look at page HSA 240113, sir? At the
12 bottom of that page, or near the bottom of that page --

13 A 240143?

14 Q 113. Do you see in the middle column near the
15 bottom of the page it says IGVACTR, and then P21?

16 A I see it -- which particular? Yes.

17 Q Actually, those two abbreviations followed by
18 P21 occurs a couple different places on this page;
19 correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q First of all, IGVACTR, is that an abbreviation
22 or shorthand for inlet guide vane actuator?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And is that a line replaceable unit in the
25 APS 3200 as you defined the term a couple minutes ago?

236

1 A Yes.

2 Q And am I correct that this document, Suttie
3 Exhibit 42, is using the designation P21 to refer to the
4 inlet guide vane actuator?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And am I right that the inlet guide vane
7 actuator is the piece of hardware that physically opens
8 or closes the inlet guide vanes?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And that's the piece of hardware whose position
11 is measured by the electronic control box in the
12 APS 3200; correct?

13 A Can you repeat that for me, please?
(Record read.)

14 A THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 BY MR. PUTNAM: We have just got to get back to

16 Q Let me get another document for you.

17 Mr. Suttie, during the development of the
18 APS 3200 was there a term or a variable that was
19 referred to as the B, B as in boy, factor?

20 A Yes.

21 Q What was the B factor?

22 A It was an equation, an algorithm to define a
23 parameter.

24 Q And what parameter was the B factor used to

237

1 define?

2 A Well, B factor itself was the parameter.

3 Q And how was the B factor used? Or how was it
4 contemplated that the B factor would be used in the APS
5 3200?

6 A It was used to determine which side of curve,
7 known as the delta P on P curve, the load compressor was
8 running on.

9 Q Which you say "which side of the curve," what
10 do you mean?

11 A As I mentioned in the previous deposition,
12 there is a relationship between delta P on P and flow,
13 air compressor flow. There is not a unique solution one
14 needs to know which -- the curve has an apex for
15 accurate control. The ECB needs to know which side of
16 that curve the load compressor is functioning.

17 Q Is the B factor something that's used in the
18 current APS 3200 today?

19 A No.

20 Q Why not?

21 A It was -- it did not adequately accomplish the
22 function.

23 Q What function?

24 A To determine which side of the curve the load
25 compressor was functioning on.

238

1 Q What's used in the APS 3200 currently in
2 operation today instead of the B factor?

3 A A pressure ratio measurement.

4 Q Is that delta P over P?

5 A No.

6 Q What is that pressure ratio measurement?

7 A It's P -- the load compressor outlet pressure
8 ratio to the load compressor inlet pressure.

9 Q Isn't that -- haven't you just defined delta P
over P?

10 A No.

11 Q What's the difference between what you just
12 defined and delta P over P?

13 A Inlet air goes into the load compressor,
14 ambient air is taken into the load compressor, and that
15 is discharged over the load compressor at a high
16 pressure. That is the pressure ratio across the
17 machine. Delta P is as we have described it.

18 Now, you could say that the pressure ratio I've
19 just discussed is a delta yes, I agree. But the delta,
20 as we have discussed it, is a delta between pressure in
21 the diffuser and the pressure in the load compressor
22 outlet duct. So it is -- and the P of the delta P on P
23 as we described it, all static pressures, is the duct
24 pressure.

239

1 operation?
2 A Yes.
3 Q When was it used?
4 A In the software versions prior to 3.0.
5 Q And what time frame is that?
6 A From entry into service, January, '94, so it's
7 sometime in '95. I don't know exactly.
8 Q How was B critical used during that period of
9 time?
10 A It was compared with the computed B factor to
11 determine which side of the delta B on B curve we're
12 operating on.
13 Q And what did the system do once it was
14 determined which side of the delta P over P curve you
15 were operating on? What did it do with that
16 information?
17 A It used that determination to cause the bleed
18 valve, control valve commanded position to be effective,
19 or to be ignored.
20 Q And when you say the bleed control valve
21 commanded position, do you mean the position generated
22 by the delta P over P measurement?
23 A I mean the control logic, which we have
24 discussed earlier, related to P on P, which produced a
25 signal called BCVCTL, I believe.

248

1 Q As I understand it, all APS 3200s that went
2 into service between January, 1994 and sometime in 1995,
3 used the B factor compared to B critical, as you've just
4 described it; is that right?
5 A Yes.
6 Q Do APUs that went into service during that
7 period still operate that way, or was their software
8 changed?
9 A Software was changed.
10 Q So am I right that APUs that went into service
11 after this period in 1995 -- first of all, that APUs
12 that went into service after the period of 1995 never
13 used the B factor compared to B critical in actual
14 operation?
15 A Correct.
16 Q And APUs that had gone into service during that
17 first year and a half or so used the B factor compared
18 to B critical during their initial in-service operation,
19 but then had their software reprogrammed to no longer
20 use those factors?
21 A Correct.
22 Q And that reprogramming took place sometime in
23 1995; is that your testimony?
24 A Sometime in '95. Or early '96.
25 Q And if we found out when software version 3.0

249

1 for the APS 3200 was installed in the field, would that
2 be the time that the change-over was made?
3 A Yes. With the caveat that 3.2, as it finally
4 ended up being, was recalled for a period, for another
5 reason; previous version of software was used again for
6 a while until version 4.1 entered service.
7 Q And when did that recall and relapse to the
8 earlier version take place?
9 A '95, '96.
10 Q And what's the relationship between 3.0 and
11 3.2?
12 A I don't recall.
13 Q Okay. Just so we have the testimony sequenced
14 correct. Is it your testimony that until version 3.0 of
15 the software was released, the APUs used the B factor to
16 B critical comparison, that there was then a period when
17 APUs did not do that, a period again where APUs did do
18 that while a software bug was being fixed, and then when
19 version 4.1 entered service APUs never -- APUs
20 thereafter did not operate using the B factor and B
21 critical?
22 A Correct.
23 Q So if we find out when version 4.1 entered
24 service, after that date and after that software was
25 installed on every given APU in service, there was no

250

1 use of the B factor and B critical; correct?
2 A Correct.
3 Q Now, during the time the B factor and B
4 critical was in actual use for the APS 3200, am I right,
5 that as shown on this chart here on Exhibit Suttie 43, B
6 critical was a function of inlet guide vane position?
7 A Can you define again what you mean by is a
8 function of?
9 Q Well, let me ask you an open-ended question.
10 What was the relationship between B critical and inlet
11 guide vane position when B critical was in use for the
12 APS 3200?
13 A The relationship was as defined in this table.
14 Q So when B critical was in use for the APS 3200,
15 a given inlet guide vane position produced a given B
16 critical value; is that right?
17 A Correct.
18 Q And that B critical value was then compared to
19 the measured B factor; correct?
20 A Yes.
21 Q And was proportional and integral control
22 applied to the difference between the B critical factor
23 and the measured B factor?
24 A No.
25 Q Was any type of control compared -- applied to

251

10/24/00 Suttie Dep.

10/24/00

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

4 HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.,)
 and HONEYWELL INTELLECTUAL)
 PROPERTY, INC.,)
 Plaintiffs,)
 vs.) No. 99-309 (GMS)
 8 HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORPORATION,)
 Defendant.)

DEPOSITION OF PETER JOHN SUTTIE
San Diego, California
Tuesday, October 24, 2000
Volume III

Reported by:
JESSICA E. MASSE
CSR No. 9910
JOB No. 16831

337

339

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

4 HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.,)
 and HONEYWELL INTELLECTUAL)
 PROPERTY, INC.,)
 Plaintiffs,)
 vs.) No. 99-309 (GMS)
 8 HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORPORATION,)
 Defendant.)

Deposition of PETER JOHN SUTTIE,
Volume III, taken on behalf of
Plaintiffs, at 501 West Broadway, Suite
1300, San Diego, California, beginning at
9:18 a.m. and ending at 5:11 p.m. on
Tuesday, October 24, 2000, before JESSICA
E. MASSE, Certified Shorthand Reporter
No. 9910.

338

1 APPEARANCES:
 2 For the Plaintiffs:
 3 KIRKLAND & ELLIS
 BY: VICKIE REZNICK
 Attorney at Law
 153 East 53rd Street
 New York, New York 10022
 (212) 446-4695
 6
 7 For the Defendant:
 8 MARSHALL, O'TOOLE, GERSTEIN, MURRAY & BORUN
 BY: WILLIAM E. McCACKEN
 9 -and-
 10 THOMAS A. MILLER
 Attorneys at Law
 233 South Wacker Drive
 11 6300 Sears Tower
 Chicago, Illinois 60606
 (312) 474-6300
 12
 13 Also Present:
 14 RICHARD H. KOSAKOWSKI
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

1 INDEX
 2 WITNESS: EXAMINATION
 3 PETER JOHN SUTTIE
 Volume III

4 BY MS. REZNICK 342
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11

EXHIBITS

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| 13 PLAINTIFFS' | |
| 14 229 Honeywell's third notice of deposition | 343 |
| 15 230 Coordination memo dated 1/19/93 | 361 |
| 16 231 Coordination memo dated 2/5/93 | 362 |
| 17 232 Coordination memo dated 1/29/93 | 366 |
| 18 233 Coordination memo dated 12/15/92 | 373 |
| 19 234 Coordination memo dated 10/13/93 | 379 |
| 20 235 Coordination memo dated 12/1/93 | 386 |
| 21 236 Coordination memo dated 12/7/93 | 388 |
| 22 237 Software problem report | 391 |
| 23 238 ECB S/W test reports | 392 |
| 24 239 Memo dated 2/1/93 | 398 |
| 25 240 DA meeting, Hamburg, August 12-13, 1993 | 399 |
| | 340 |

1 (Pages 337 to 340)

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES

619-233-0633 800-829-6159

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 233 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

3 BY MS. REZNIK:

4 Q Exhibit 233 is a coordination memo; correct?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And the coordination memo is authored by T, period, Maedche; correct?

7 A Correct.

8 Q And that refers to Terry Maedche?

9 A Correct.

10 Q What is the subject of this coordination memo?

11 A Load compressor data.

12 Q Can you read the first line of the coordination memo for me, please?

13 A "SPS is currently conducting final surge system development testing, computer" --

14 Q First sentence of the coordination memo, please.

15 A "SPS is currently conducting final surge system development testing, computer simulation correlation, and surge system tolerance analysis."

16 Q Was Mr. Maedche involved in the final surge system development testing referenced in this memo?

17 A He was involved; yes.

18 Q Was Mr. Maedche involved in the computer

1 A Terry Maedche wrote this coordination memo. As I mentioned previously, he was part of the development team. He was working with others to do this system development. So I can't say for sure whether it was someone else's idea or not.

2 Q When you said "this engineer's request," you were referring to Terry Maedche; correct?

3 A I was referring to the writer of this coord memo, which is Terry Maedche, yes.

4 Q Was Mr. Maedche involved in the surge system tolerance analysis, described in the first sentence of this coordination memo, for the APS 3200?

5 A Yes.

6 Q What is the purpose of surge system tolerance analysis?

7 A When evaluating a system, or any part for that matter, not all components behave exactly the same. We make 100 load compressors. They don't all behave exactly the same. There is a tolerance. To make sure that a system works correctly, you need to allot for that variation between the different pieces of hardware. And so when designing a system with enough allowance for the tolerances -- and so that was what was being done here.

8 The control system has many components. It's

373

375

9 simulation correlation of the APS 3200 related to the load compressor data?

10 A We never had a good computer simulation of this system. So while we may have tried to have correlation between computer analysis and actual test data, it was never successful.

11 Q So it's your testimony that you never did a computer simulation correlation test on the APS 3200?

12 A A computer simulation correlation test, no. That wouldn't be a computer simulation. It would be a computer program, which is intended to simulate real hardware. We never had a good computer model.. It's very complicated, and we never had a good model. So we did our system development on engine tests, as I've mentioned to you previously. This was an attempt to get data to do a computer simulation correlation. It was this engineer's request to do this, as stated here, but it's not --

13 Q I'm sorry. Continue.

14 A But we were never very successful at doing simulation of the system.

15 Q You said this was an attempt to get data to do a computer simulation correlation based on the engineer's request. This engineer's request meaning Terry Maedche?

16 got sensors. It's got the load compressor itself. You need to add up all those tolerances to make sure that the system will always work as required by the customer -- all days, all temperatures, all locations, all altitudes as specified.

17 Q So it was part of Mr. Maedche's job to request these various surge and tolerance analysis tests for the APS 3200?

18 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that, please?

19 (Record read.)

20 THE WITNESS: There are multiple things there. This was a request for data from Turbomeca. What you also mentioned was testing, which he was not requesting. It was testing that was being carried out in San Diego. So what he was requesting here was basic performance data for the load compressor.

21 BY MS. REZNIK:

22 Q Would Mr. Maedche be involved in analyzing that data?

23 A Typically not a systems engineer. This is primarily performance information which you get from a performance engineer. The only reason the systems engineer would be interested in this is if we were building a simulation -- a computer program that I mentioned previously.

374

376

10 (Pages 373 to 376)

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES
619-233-0633 800-829-6159

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

control system. You only do what's minimally necessary to make the system function properly. To add extra features could have a detrimental effect. So it was not necessary. We did not include IGVs.

Q. Did you ever test any version of any APU that included the surge control system depicted in Exhibit 22 or Exhibit 74?

A. No.

Q. So the information you obtained from Turbomeca relating to the delta P/P setpoint wasn't derived from testing of an APU; is that correct?

A. The information we got from Turbomeca -- and you've shown it already in one of the exhibits -- was a relationship between delta P on P and flow. It was derived from rig testing of Turbomeca's hardware and equipment in France. They then gave us the relationship which we used in our control system. It was independent of IGVs as it's stated on the figure you've shown me earlier.

Q. Do you know what type of testing was done by Turbomeca?

A. You need to ask Turbomeca.

Q. So Turbomeca never shared with you the basis of their testing of hardware in deriving the delta P/P flow relationship?

465

467

A. I never saw the rate they used.

Q. You never saw it, but did they ever provide you with any information about the rig testing that they conducted?

A. They gave us the data that they collected. That's all the information I care about. I can think of what the rig might have looked like, but I never saw it. I don't really -- it's not really an issue to us. We wanted the output data, which we used.

Q. Can you tell me when Turbomeca provided you the information stating that the IGV angles didn't need to affect the setpoint?

A. It's written in one of the coord memos, October 25th, 1991.

Q. And Turbomeca provided this information in the form of a coordination memo?

A. Yes. From Gerard Hardy.

Q. Mr. Hardy was your counterpart in Turbomeca; is that correct?

A. No. Not at that time.

Q. What was his role?

A. He was the program manager at that time. His counterpart -- I was the control systems project engineer. So in hierarchy structure, I would have been seen as junior to Mr. Hardy.

466

468

Q. And Mr. Hardy from Turbomeca was the program manager of this APS 3000 at the time of the 3000 development?

A. At Turbomeca. Only responsible for the Turbomeca portion.

Q. Let me know if I've got this correct. Is it fair to say that you are not prepared to tell me where Turbomeca has derived the information regarding the unnecessary relationship between the IGVs angle and the setpoint?

MR. McCACKEN: Objection; ambiguous and vague.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please?

MS. REZNICK: Why don't I restate it for you.

Q. Are you able to identify for me how Turbomeca derived the information they provided to you on this October 25th, 1991 coordination memo between the relationship of IGV angle and the setpoint?

A. They derived that information from rig tests of a compressor. They measured the air flow, measured delta P on P, and through laborious tasks created the relationship by measuring many points and just plotting them along the chart.

Q. So is it your testimony that after October 25th, 1991 Sundstrand no longer used variations in

position of the IGV to determine the delta P/P setpoint?

A. We never used variations of IGV to establish the delta P on P setpoint. After October 25th, the control -- architecture of the control philosophy changed to incorporate the data from Turbomeca and to delete any reference to IGVs affecting the delta P on P setpoint.

Q. So, then, it's fair to say that after October 25th, 1991 Sundstrand no longer contemplated using variations in position of IGVs to affect the delta P/P setpoint?

A. That would be a fair statement, yes.

Q. Is it fair to say the sole basis for Sundstrand's abandonment of that idea was Turbomeca's data supplied to you in this October 25th, 1991 coordination memo?

A. Yes.

Q. So Sundstrand didn't do any independent testing or analysis to determine whether or not the relationship between the IGV angle and delta P/P setpoint would work?

A. No, we did not.

Q. And aside from the rig testing and lead compressor testing by Turbomeca that you think went on, you can't tell me if there was any other basis Turbomeca had for providing you with this information in the

12/6/05 Clark Dep.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)
and HONEYWELL INTELLECTUAL)
PROPERTIES, INC.,)

Plaintiffs,

vs.

) No. 99-309-GMS

HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORP.,)

Defendant.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JIM CROCKER CLARK
Volume 1 (Page 1- 278)

Phoenix, Arizona

December 6, 2005

10:00 a.m.

PREPARED FOR:
District Court
(Original)

PREPARED BY:
Robin L. B. Osterode, RPR, CSR
AZ Certified Reporter No. 50695

1 called the throat.

2 Q. And the converging/diverging nozzle has a
3 back end that has a wider opening, correct?
4 A. The back end expands in area.
5 Q. And that's called the discharge; am I
6 right?

7 A. The end of it you can call it the
8 discharge.

9 Q. In a converging/diverging nozzle, you
10 have a lower pressure at the throat, generally, and a
11 higher pressure at the discharge, correct?

12 A. The lowest pressure will be in the
13 throat.

14 Q. And the purpose --

15 A. Oh --

16 Q. Go ahead.

17 A. You can lower -- you could lower the
18 downstream pressures so much that it would choke and
19 the lowest pressure would be at the exit.

20 Q. I want to talk about choke in a minute,
21 but let's put aside choke conditions, okay?

22 A. Could you repeat your question, so we can
23 get back on?

24 Q. Sure. The lowest pressure in a
25 converging/diverging nozzle is generally at the

58

60

1 A. Those are in my textbook.

2 Q. In your 1950s Shapiro textbook?

3 A. Right.

4 Q. Do -- does the position of the inlet
5 guide vanes affect the flow-related parameter in a
6 surge control system?

7 MS. STEVENSON: Objection, vague and
8 incomplete hypothetical.

9 THE WITNESS: Can you -- I've already
10 stated you can change the inlet guide vanes and
11 change flow.

12 BY MR. LIND:

13 Q. And if you change the inlet guide vanes
14 and change flow, you're going to change the value of
15 the flow-related parameter, correct?

16 MS. STEVENSON: Objection, vague.

17 THE WITNESS: If you change the inlet
18 guide vanes and change flow, the flow rate parameter
19 is going to change.

20 BY MR. LIND:

21 Q. And that's because the pressures that are
22 being measured in the flow-related parameter are
23 going to change because of the change in the inlet
24 guide vane pressure?

25 A. The pressures are changing because the

59

61

1 throat of the nozzle, correct?

2 A. It depends on how much you back-pressure
3 the nozzle. It can be -- the discharge can be
4 greater or it can be less than the throat.

5 Q. When you have subsonic flow through a
6 converging/diverging nozzle, you'll have lower
7 pressure at the throat than at the discharge,
8 correct?

9 A. When you have subsonic flow:

10 Q. And when you have supersonic flow in a
11 converging/diverging nozzle, you can have lower
12 pressure actually at the back end of that nozzle,
13 correct?

14 A. When you have supersonic flow, you can
15 get a shockwave in there and you'll have lower
16 pressure than at the throat.

17 Q. And those same principles apply to a
18 diffuser, correct?

19 MS. STEVENSON: Object to the form.

20 THE WITNESS: Those same principles in
21 the areas expanding, that's true.

22 BY MR. LIND:

23 Q. And those are principles that you have
24 understood and learned about going, again, back to
25 your college days, correct?

1 flow is changing.

2 Q. And the flow is changing because the
3 inlet guide vane position is changing?

4 A. If that's all you did.

5 Q. Were -- did the 165-9 APU have inlet
6 guide vanes?

7 A. The 165-9 does not have inlet guide
8 vanes.

9 MR. LIND: And we're going to ask on the
10 record, and we'll follow up, that documents relating
11 to the 165-9 be produced, because they're, based on
12 his testimony, they're going to be relevant as well
13 and have always been relevant to our document
14 request.

15 MS. STEVENSON: I disagree with that
16 characterization, but we can deal with it off the
17 record.

18 BY MR. LIND:

19 Q. Are you familiar with the concept of
20 compressor surge?

21 A. Yes, I've heard of compressor surge.
22 I've worked on surge systems, so I'm familiar with
23 the concept.

24 Q. And again, the concept of compressor
25 surge is a concept that goes back to your college

90 1 BY MR. LIND:

2 Q. Mr. Clark, in the late 1970s in
 3 connection with the F-18 aircraft, Honeywell used
 4 inlet guide vane position as an input into its surge
 5 control system, correct?

6 A. No. It's a fully pneumatic system and it
 7 did not use inlet guide vane position.

8 Q. Okay. In -- when was the first time that
 9 Honeywell conceived of using inlet guide vane
 10 position as an input into its surge control system?

11 A. I -- I couldn't say for sure, the first
 12 time we ever used it was on a 331-200.

13 Q. And you know that that surge control
 14 system was developed or conceived of at least in the
 15 late 1970s, correct?

16 A. I don't know the exact dates on when
 17 we -- I can't remember the exact I worked on it but
 18 can't remember the exact dates, whether it was late
 19 '70s, early '80s, I can't remember.

20 Q. And when did Honeywell first conceive of
 21 incorporating the position of the inlet guide vane
 22 into a surge control system in any way?

23 A. I don't know when we first conceived of
 24 that.

25 MR. LIND: This is another area where I

92

1 use one pressure, static pressure as a flow-related
 2 parameter; that was your question; correct?

3 Q. You could take the difference between two
 4 static pressure measurements at two different places
 5 in the compressor, correct?

6 A. Correct, you need two.

7 Q. As a flow-related parameter?

8 A. As a flow-related parameter.

9 Q. You could also compare measurements of
 10 static pressure and total pressure as a flow-related
 11 parameter in a surge control system, correct?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. And that's a concept that you've been
 14 familiar with for how long?

15 A. Since I've been at Honeywell.

16 Q. And the same with the two static pressure
 17 tap systems, correct?

18 A. Right.

19 Q. Now, in designing a surge control system,
 20 where can you take the static pressure measurements
 21 in order to create your flow-related parameter?

22 MS. STEVENSON: Objection; vague.

23 THE WITNESS: The static -- the limiting
 24 factor is the static pressure measurements -- there
 25 will be a branch that goes to the -- a pipe that goes

91 1 think the witness was not properly prepared under our
 2 30(b)(6) notice, so we'll ask potentially for a
 3 witness to come and testify to those issues.

4 MS. STEVENSON: I obviously disagree, and
 5 for one thing, you haven't showed him a single
 6 document and this is not a memory test as we all
 7 know, so I disagree with your characterization.

8 BY MR. LIND:

9 Q. When you're determining a flow-related
 10 parameter in a surge control system, where can you
 11 measure the pressure -- well, let me strike that
 12 question. Let me take a step back.

13 We talked about two kinds of pressure so
 14 far, total pressure; do you recall talking about
 15 total pressure?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. And we talked about static pressure,
 18 correct?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. And you know of surge control systems
 21 that you've talked about that could use a flow
 22 parameter based on either static pressure alone or a
 23 combination of static and total pressure
 24 measurements, correct?

25 A. I think I said earlier you couldn't just

93

1 to the customer, and a pipe that goes to the surge
 2 valve, okay, you have to be between the compressor
 3 and a branch in those two pipes. In other words, the
 4 static pressure has -- the total flow has -- it has
 5 to be in a region where the total flow coming out of
 6 the compressor is -- because that's what you're
 7 trying to control --

8 BY MR. LIND:

9 Q. Can you take static pressure measurements
 10 in a surge control system in the pipe what you call
 11 going to the surge bleed valve?

12 A. That -- no.

13 Q. Can you take static pressure measurements
 14 to determine your flow-related parameter in the, what
 15 you called the pipe going to the customer, the
 16 airplane?

17 A. No, no. It's got to be someplace where
 18 the total flow flows through that cross-sectional
 19 area where the static port is. "Total flow" meaning
 20 all the flow that the compressor is putting out.

21 Q. Is what you called the pipe going to
 22 the --

23 A. We're talking about load compressors now,
 24 is that right?

25 Q. Correct.

134
 1 good person to call?
 2 A. I don't think he would know either; he
 3 couldn't tell you specifically either, I don't think.
 4 Q. How did -- describe for me the logic in
 5 the 331-350 where IGV position was used to determine
 6 whether the double solution issue existed?
 7 A. Can you repeat the question?
 8 Q. Describe for me the logic in the 331-350
 9 that used inlet guide vane position to determine
 10 whether the double solution issue existed?
 11 A. Yeah, I think I already replied to that.
 12 There's a -- I believe there's a schedule in there,
 13 it's got inlet guide vane position and pressure
 14 inputs, and it makes a decision on which side of the
 15 curve you're on.
 16 Q. Does it compare inlet guide vane position
 17 to a pressure ratio?
 18 A. Does it compare inlet guide vane position
 19 to a pressure ratio?
 20 Q. In this schedule.
 21 A. The inputs to the schedule, I think, are,
 22 if I recall my memory, is in inlet guide vane
 23 position and then there's some pressure, some --
 24 Q. Why does the double solution problem
 25 occur in the 331-350?

136
 1 problem, don't you need two static pressure
 2 measurements, two static pressure ports?
 3 A. No, you can have a total in static.
 4 Q. So in the -- in any APU that uses the
 5 Delta P/P flow-related parameter, if the static
 6 pressure measurement in that parameter is taken in
 7 the diffuser and if you get supersonic flow in the
 8 diffuser, you'll experience the double solution
 9 problem?
 10 MS. STEVENSON: Objection; asked and
 11 answered several times.

12 THE WITNESS: Any time you get supersonic
 13 flow in the diffuser, you get a distortion to that
 14 curve.

15 BY MR. LIND:

16 Q. The double solution curve?
 17 A. It makes the double solution curve.
 18 Q. Do all of the Honeywell APUs you listed
 19 earlier that use the Delta P/P flow-related parameter
 20 take the static pressure measurement in the diffuser?
 21 MS. STEVENSON: Object to the form.
 22 THE WITNESS: The 331s -- the 331s all
 23 do, I believe.
 24 BY MR. LIND:
 25 Q. The 331-200, therefore --

135
 1 A. Because the static ports were put down in
 2 the diffuser.
 3 Q. So any time you put static pressure ports
 4 in the diffuser, you can exhibit -- and you get
 5 supersonic flow in the diffuser, you'll experience
 6 this double solution problem?
 7 A. Yes, that's right.
 8 Q. Where are the static ports in the
 9 331-50? I'm sorry, where are the static pressure
 10 ports within the 331-350 diffuser?
 11 A. I don't know where they are exactly,
 12 they're down -- they're in the diffusers and I don't
 13 know the location, that was -- if that was the
 14 question.
 15 Q. Yes, sir.
 16 And is -- when you're -- the 331-350 uses
 17 your Delta P/P flow parameter in its surge control
 18 system, correct?
 19 A. That's correct.
 20 Q. So my understanding of the Delta P/P
 21 flow-related parameter is that it is total pressure
 22 minus static pressure over total pressure, correct?
 23 A. It's total pressure minus static
 24 pressure, that quantity over total pressure.
 25 Q. In order to get the double solution

137
 1 A. I'm sorry, the 331-200 and 250 do not
 2 have static taps in the diffuser; it's out in the
 3 duct.
 4 Q. Is the difference between the control --
 5 surge control logic to the 331-200 and the 331-350 be
 6 the location of the static pressure taps, then?
 7 A. That's correct.
 8 Q. Why did you move the static pressure tap
 9 from the duct in the 331-200 to the diffuser in the
 10 331-350?
 11 A. I don't know all the reasons, but I know
 12 one reason was to get a larger Delta P signal, which
 13 we previously discussed.
 14 Q. Because there's an advantage to having
 15 the static pressure measurement in the diffuser, as
 16 opposed to out in the duct?
 17 A. There's advantages and disadvantages and
 18 that's one of the advantages.
 19 Q. And Honeywell recognized that advantage
 20 in changing the surge control logic between the
 21 331-200 and the 331-350, correct?
 22 A. That was a recognized advantage.
 23 Q. And when did Honeywell recognize the
 24 advantage of measuring surge -- measuring static
 25 pressure in the diffuser, as opposed to the duct?

138

140

1 A. On the 350?
 2 Q. On any APU surge control.
 3 A. I -- I couldn't tell you.

4 MR. LIND: All right. It's 12:25 by my
 5 count; let's take a break for lunch and then come
 6 back and -- we can go off the record.

7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We're going off
 8 the record at 12:27 p.m.

9 (Recessed from 12:27 p.m. until
 10 1:32 p.m.)

11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
 12 record at 1:32 p.m.

13 BY MR. LIND:

14 Q. Mr. Clark, does the 165-9 APU experience
 15 the double solution problem?

16 A. The 165-9 doesn't have a load compressor.

17 Q. You mention that -- so the answer is no?

18 A. The answer is no.

19 Q. You mentioned that the 165-9 originally
 20 sensed a -- strike that.

21 There was a surge control system in the
 22 165-9, correct?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. Where was surge occurring or a problem?

25 A. Where was surge occurring a problem?

1 and asked and answered.

2 THE WITNESS: If you don't have a load
 3 compressor, it's usually a bleed machine. And bleed
 4 machines take off a little bit of bleed flow, but
 5 most of the -- it's an engine, and most of its flow
 6 is going through its own turbine, okay, so if you put
 7 the statics down in the diffuser to sense flow, you'd
 8 see a very small change in flow, because most of the
 9 flow is going through the turbine; that's why I said
 10 by design you wouldn't have a double solution
 11 problem, because you wouldn't put diffuser statics in
 12 a machine that didn't have a load compressor.

13 BY MR. LIND:

14 Q. In the 165-9 APU, why did you change the
 15 flow parameter from just sensing Delta P to sensing
 16 Delta P/P?

17 A. I may have -- the 165-9, I may have
 18 stated it incorrectly, I think I tried to correct
 19 myself, it's only a Delta P control.

20 Q. It never changed to a Delta P/P?

21 A. No, not to my knowledge, that's true.

22 Q. I want to ask you some more questions
 23 about Honeywell's use of inlet guide vane position to
 24 solve the double solution problem, okay?

25 A. (No audible response.)

139

141

1 Q. Well, I assume if you have a surge
 2 control system, you have the potential for surge and
 3 that's why you have the surge control system; is that
 4 right?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. Where was the surge problem in the 165-9
 7 APU?

8 A. Where was the -- it doesn't have a load
 9 compressor, so it's in the power section compressor.

10 Q. Okay. So there was the potential in the
 11 165-9 APU to have surge in the compressor?

12 A. In the compressor.

13 Q. Can you have the double solution problem
 14 in a compressor that is not a load compressor?

15 A. We don't have any diffuser statics in any
 16 compressor that's not a load compressor.

17 Q. That's a different answer to a different
 18 question. Here's my question, can you have the
 19 double solution problem in a compressor that is not a
 20 load compressor?

21 A. By design, I don't think you would.

22 Q. If that compressor had a diffuser, you
 23 could have a double solution problem in a compressor
 24 that is not a load compressor, correct?

25 MS. STEVENSON: Objection; argumentative

1 Q. Why did Honeywell use inlet guide vane
 2 position to solve the double solution problem?

3 MS. STEVENSON: Objection; vague.

4 THE WITNESS: I think -- I didn't work on
 5 that, but I -- they looked for some logic to try and
 6 find out when that occurs, and one of the parameters
 7 that is an influence there is IGV position.

8 BY MR. LIND:

9 Q. One of the reasons that you used IGV
 10 position to solve the double solution problem is that
 11 IGV position influences where you are on the
 12 compressor map, right?

13 A. It changes the compressor map.

14 Q. So yes?

15 A. Yes, it changes the compressor map.

16 Q. Who worked on Honeywell's development of
 17 the surge control system that used IGV position to
 18 solve the double solution problem?

19 MS. STEVENSON: Objection; vague.

20 THE WITNESS: The first -- the first
 21 product that we had that had that was the 331-350,
 22 and I think I've already given that answer.

23 BY MR. LIND:

24 Q. My question is, to the extent you've
 25 given some insight on that before, my question is who

154

1 I have the same inverted V or double solution problem 1 Q. Now, in which of the compressors listed
2 as the APS-3200 APU, correct? 2 at page 9 of Exhibit 4 is there -- do they experience
3 MS. STEVENSON: Object to the form. 3 the double solution problem?
4 Misstates the document, and I request that you show 4 A. Actually have a problem?
5 him his declaration if you're going to purport to 5 Q. Yes.
6 quote from it. 6 A. I know the 350 does, and I believe this
7 BY MR. LIND: 7 131-9B.
8 Q. Isn't that right, sir? 8 Q. B, as in boy?
9 THE WITNESS: Is it all right for me to 9 A. B, as in boy.
10 answer the question? 10 Q. Any others?
11 MS. STEVENSON: If you understand the 11 A. And I -- I can't tell you on any of the
12 question and can answer it, you can answer it. 12 others for sure.
13 THE WITNESS: Okay. These are APUs -- 13 Q. Now, what's the difference between the
14 did I say that in my response to Sunstrand's -- okay, 14 331-350 and the 331-9B, as opposed to all the others,
15 can you repeat the question? 15 that make you certain that --
16 BY MR. LIND: 16 A. You mean the 131 --
17 Q. You had written earlier in a declaration 17 Q. I'm sorry, let me start over, you're
18 in this case that several Honeywell APUs have the 18 right. What's different between the 331-350 and the
19 same inverted V or double solution problem as the 19 131-9B, as compared to the other APUs listed on page
20 Sundstrand APS-3200, correct; you wrote that?
21 MS. STEVENSON: Same objections.
22 THE WITNESS: I can't remember writing
23 that, but the statement is true.
24 BY MR. LIND:
25 Q. Okay. And then we asked Honeywell in

155

1 this document to tell us what those were, and you see 156
2 that they answered, right, in response? 1 speculation, I do not know.
3 A. All right.
4 Q. And if you turn the page onto page 9, 2 Q. The -- which of the APUs on page 9 of
5 there's a list of Honeywell APUs, correct? 3 Exhibit 4 use logic that uses the inlet guide vane
6 A. Correct. 4 position to solve the double solution problem,
7 Q. And, sir, do each of those Honeywell APUs 5 so-called switching logic, as you called it?
8 exhibit the same double solution problem as the 6 A. The 331-350 and I believe the 131-9B.
9 APS-3200? 7 Q. Would the 331-200 also have the potential
10 MS. STEVENSON: Object to the form. 8 for the double solution problem, if it didn't
11 THE WITNESS: I -- the 350 does; I can't 9 experience it?
12 speak for the 400, the 500. The 600 has diffuser
13 statics, and as I recall, we don't have any switching
14 logic in the 600. Now these 131 -- I know the 131-9B
15 has logic in there; I assume by "double V solution
16 problem" it's going to be in any of these
17 compressors, but is it a problem? Is that correct,
18 you asked is it a problem?
19 BY MR. LIND:
20 Q. Well, let's break that down: For each of
21 the compressors listed at page 9 of Exhibit 4, do
22 they have the potential, because of where the
23 pressure measurements are taken, to exhibit the
24 double solution curve?
25 A. They have the potential.

155

157

1 this document to tell us what those were, and you see 1 speculation, I do not know.
2 that they answered, right, in response? 2 Q. The -- which of the APUs on page 9 of
3 A. All right. 3 Exhibit 4 use logic that uses the inlet guide vane
4 Q. And if you turn the page onto page 9, 4 position to solve the double solution problem,
5 there's a list of Honeywell APUs, correct? 5 so-called switching logic, as you called it?
6 A. Correct. 6 A. The 331-350 and I believe the 131-9B.
7 Q. And, sir, do each of those Honeywell APUs 7 Q. Would the 331-200 also have the potential
8 exhibit the same double solution problem as the 8 for the double solution problem, if it didn't
9 APS-3200? 9 experience it?
10 MS. STEVENSON: Object to the form. 10 A. It does not have diffuser statics, you
11 THE WITNESS: I -- the 350 does; I can't 11 said 331-200, correct?
12 speak for the 400, the 500. The 600 has diffuser
13 statics, and as I recall, we don't have any switching
14 logic in the 600. Now these 131 -- I know the 131-9B
15 has logic in there; I assume by "double V solution
16 problem" it's going to be in any of these
17 compressors, but is it a problem? Is that correct,
18 you asked is it a problem?
19 BY MR. LIND:
20 Q. Well, let's break that down: For each of
21 the compressors listed at page 9 of Exhibit 4, do
22 they have the potential, because of where the
23 pressure measurements are taken, to exhibit the
24 double solution curve?
25 A. They have the potential.

15 Q. When you say it does not have diffuser
16 statics, do you mean it does not have pressure taps
17 in the diffuser?
18 A. That's correct.
19 Q. But all of the APUs listed on page 9 of
20 Exhibit 4 have pressure taps in the diffuser?
21 A. That's correct.
22 Q. And in any of the APUs listed on page 9
23 of Exhibit 4, that solve the double solution problem
24 using inlet guide vane position like the 331-350
25 let me rephrase that, that didn't make any sense.

1 A. We were in competition with Lycoming, I
2 thought was our competitor.
3 Q. With who?
4 A. Lycoming.
5 Q. Lycoming?
6 A. Lycoming.
7 Q. Are you sure about that or that's just
8 your best recollection right now?
9 A. That's my best recollection.
10 Q. Do you recall one of the Hamilton
11 Sundstrand or predecessor companies offering an APU
12 for the 757 in the late 1970s?
13 A. I don't recall that.
14 Q. You mentioned the L-1011; what do you
15 know about the APU for the L-1011?
16 A. I don't know anything about it.
17 Q. Do you know who makes it?
18 A. That was Ham Standard, I believe.
19 Q. You talked about the APU called the 131-3
20 earlier today, right?
21 A. Right.
22 Q. And that's the one that uses your
23 Delta P/Delta P surge control system, correct?
24 A. That's correct.
25 Q. And does the 131-3 experience the

202
1 there's two on each side of a vane.
2 Q. In the 131-3 there are at least two
3 static pressure taps in the diffuser?
4 A. That's correct.
5 Q. And then there's a third pressure sensor,
6 correct?
7 A. There's a third pressure.
8 Q. What pressure does that measure or sense,
9 static or total?
10 A. I can't remember exactly whether that's a
11 static or a total, but it's in an area of low
12 velocity, so it's a -- so if it's a -- it's closer to
13 a total, it's not down in the diffuser. I believe
14 it's out on the scroll someplace.
15 Q. You believe that the third pressure tap
16 in the 131-3 is past the diffuser out in the scroll?
17 A. It's out in the scroll, I believe.
18 Q. When you measure static pressure at the
19 far end of the diffuser, is that essentially the same
20 as measuring total pressure?
21 A. It's much closer to total pressure.
22 Q. And the closer you go to the very end of
23 the diffuser discharge --
24 A. The closer it gets to total pressure.
25 Q. I'll accept that, even though we weren't

204

203
1 potential for the double solution characteristic?
2 A. It does not have that characteristic.
3 Q. And why not?
4 A. It does not have a multi-valued curve.
5 All I know is it, from data we don't have it, and we
6 did not see it. I cannot tell you the physical
7 reason, because I don't know.
8 Q. Are any of the pressure measurements in
9 the surge control system for the 131-3 static
10 pressure?
11 A. Yes, there's three pressure taps; two of
12 them are static pressures in the diffuser.
13 Q. So in the 131-3 you have static pressure
14 taps in the diffuser, correct?
15 A. That's correct.
16 Q. And you have two static pressure taps in
17 the diffuser, correct?
18 A. On each -- on both sides of the vane.
19 Q. But there are two, correct?
20 A. To be specific, there's -- I don't know
21 how many vanes in there, and I believe each one has
22 two of these, so I don't want to say there's only
23 two, but they all collected in a manifold, I'm just
24 trying to be precise here, but there's two, you could
25 say that there's two main -- two important ones or

205
1 supposed to talk over each other, I think that one
2 worked out okay.
3 Although you haven't experienced the
4 double solution problem in the 131-3, do you agree
5 that because you're taking static pressure
6 measurements in the diffuser, that the potential for
7 the double solution problem exists?
8 MS. STEVENSON: Objection; calls for
9 speculation.
10 THE WITNESS: Are you calling in that
11 application, in the way that we did it, is that what
12 you're saying? In other words, if I have two
13 pressure holes down there, that the potential exists
14 for the double V solution.
15 BY MR. LIND:
16 Q. Yeah, in the 131-3, because you have two
17 static pressure sensors in the diffuser, doesn't the
18 potential for the double solution problem exist?
19 A. I don't believe so. As I explained
20 later, the signal does not turn around and go back,
21 it's not double valued as in the double V solution.
22 Q. And you don't know why that is, though?
23 A. All I know is it doesn't do that, and I
24 do not know the physical reason.
25 Q. At least you haven't experienced the peak